

MELINDA HAAG (CABN 132612)
United States Attorney

MIRANDA KANE (CABN 150630)
Chief, Criminal Division

JANAKI GANDHI (CABN 272246)
Special Assistant United States Attorney

450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055
San Francisco, California 94102
Telephone: (415) 436-7050
Facsimile: (415) 436-7234
E-Mail: janaki.gandhi@usdoj.gov

Attorneys for the United States of America

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
)
Plaintiff,)
)
v.)
)
JAIME VICENTE CABALLERO,)
)
Defendant.)
_____)

No. CR 13-0318 RS

**STIPULATION AND ~~PROPOSED~~
ORDER EXCLUDING TIME UNDER 18
U.S.C. § 3161**

On May 28, 2013, the parties in this case appeared before the Court. At that time, the Court set the matter to June 25, 2013. The parties have agreed to exclude the period of time between May 28, 2013 and June 25, 2013 from any time limits applicable under 18 U.S.C. § 3161. The parties represented that granting the exclusion would allow the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation of counsel. *See* 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv). The parties also agree that the ends of justice served by granting such an exclusion of time outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A).

//

//

STIPULATION & ~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER EXCLUDING TIME
CR 13-0318 RS

1 At the hearing, the Court made findings consistent with this agreement. SO STIPULATED:
2
3

4 MELINDA HAAG
United States Attorney
5

6 DATED: May 28, 2013

_____/s/_____
7 JANAKI GANDHI
Special Assistant United States Attorney
8

9 DATED: May 28, 2013

_____/s/_____
10 JODI LINKER
Attorney for Defendant Jaime Vicente Caballero
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER

For the reasons stated above and at the May 28, 2013 hearing, the Court finds that the exclusion from the time limits applicable under 18 U.S.C. § 3161 of the period from May 28, 2013 and June 25, 2013 is warranted and that the ends of justice served by the continuance outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A). Denying the requested exclusion of time would deprive the parties of the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: 5/29/13



THE HONORABLE RICHARD SEEBORG
United States District Judge